

# MUNICIPAL DISTRICT OF BIGHORN NO. 8

## MUNICIPAL PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING

HELD NOVEMBER 17, 2010 AT THE MUNICIPAL OFFICE IN EXSHAW

**PRESENT:** AMANDA KELLY MPC MEMBER  
GRAHAM LOCK MPC MEMBER  
CAROLYN MONTGOMERY MPC MEMBER (Council)  
PAUL RYAN MPC MEMBER (Council)

**ABSENT:** MIKE MILNE MPC MEMBER

**STAFF:** JANICE THOMPSON DEVELOPMENT OFFICER  
GREG BIRCH PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT OFFICER  
TRACY WOITENKO COMMUNITY PLANNER  
ULRIKA GILLESPIE MANAGEMENT ASSISTANT,  
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

**GUESTS:** Carolyn Godfrey. Attendance varied throughout the meeting.

### I. CALL TO ORDER

In the absence of a Chairperson, Development Officer Janice Thompson called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. She stated that MPC member Mike Milne would be unable to make the meeting today as he was sick.

### II. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

Ms. Thompson stated that staff are requesting that two items be added to the agenda. She explained that the first one, to be a verbal update with respect to the proposed DMF-ARP, could be added under item X. 3 and the second one, which is a recently received Subdivision Referral Request from Rocky View County, could be placed under item X. 4. She then asked if there were any other additions or deletions to the agenda proposed by MPC members. There were no other additions or deletions expressed. There was a brief discussion regarding the referral request and the size of it as to whether there would be ample time to consider the proposal. It was outlined by staff that the referral request is quite small and not complex. It was further explained that if the MPC did not wish to accept the addition, that staff could respond to the referral given there are time frames outlined that referral responses must be responded by.

#### MOTION 01.11.10 KELLY THAT

the Municipal Planning Commission approve the agenda with the following amendments:

- X. 3 Verbal Update on the Draft Dead Man's Flats Area Redevelopment Plan
- X. 4 Subdivision Referral Request from Rocky View County

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY



### **III. ORIENTATION SESSION FOR NEW MPC MEMBERS**

Ms. Thompson asked the MPC members if it was acceptable to them that a summary of the orientation session be done for the minutes of the meeting instead of detailed minutes. She said that if acceptable the minutes would reflect the topics discussed but not the discussion around the topics. The MPC members agreed to a short summary of the orientation session being recorded.

Ms. Thompson continued by suggesting that the members introduce themselves and following this, Ms. Thompson then introduced the Planning and Development Department staff and each of their roles.

Ms. Thompson then, pointing to the MD of Bighorn Land Ownership Map, described the various areas of the municipality including an explanation of public vs. private ownership and the adjacent municipalities to the MD of Bighorn.

There was a discussion regarding MPC agenda packages and an update regarding the status of the ability to provide electronic agenda packages or hard copies to members. It was noted there is limitation as to technology in terms of scanning and size of attachments when emailing.

Ms. Thompson directed the MPC members to the "Orientation/Training Session information that had been previously provided to members and to be discussed today at the meeting. Legislative authority to act was described (Bighorn Bylaw 11/03) and Provincial legislation Acts and Regulations (e.g. Municipal Government Act, etc.). Municipal Planning documents such as the Municipal Development Plan, Area Structure Plans, Area Redevelopment Plans, and Land Use Bylaw were described as to content, compatibility, and hierarchy. The relevancy of these documents when making decisions was also discussed. Agenda packages, meetings, and minutes of these meetings were described. It was highlighted that the MPC minutes are not recorded in a verbatim fashion, but rather a summary of the discussions, albeit detailed. Draft minutes, once prepared will be provided to the members. An overview of the Development and Subdivision processes, including time frames, was provided. Subdivision and Development Appeals and the process, time frames and when the Municipal Government Board is involved was also described.

Tracy Woitenko gave a presentation as to working within Bighorn's Land Use Bylaw No. 04/10. Each part of the bylaw was outlined and the content described. She emphasized any portions that dealt with MPC's authority, such as Permitted and Discretionary Uses in the districts, variances, setbacks from property boundaries, highways, and sour gas facilities, etc. Enforcement and amendments to the Land Use Bylaw were also described. The maps at the end of the document were also explained.

Following the orientation/training session, Greg Birch and Tracy Woitenko left the room.

### **IV. APPOINTMENT OF CHAIRPERSON**

Ms. Thompson requested the MPC members present to discuss amongst themselves who might become the chairperson of the MPC for the upcoming term. She then called for nominations for

this position. Carolyn Montgomery nominated Graham Lock. Ms. Thompson called another three times for further nominations. Being no further nominations, Mr. Lock accepted and assumed the chair. He thanked the MPC members for their vote of confidence.

Ms. Thompson stated to Chairman Lock that there was one person in the audience today with respect to item VIII. 2 on the agenda. She said that in the past the MPC members, if members were in agreement, would move up on the agenda to accommodate applicants that were in attendance. She said, however, the applicant has just arrived and may need a few minutes to review the Development Officer's Report with respect to her application.

## V. SET MPC MEETING DATES AND TIMES

Chairman Lock asked the MPC members to discuss the meeting dates and times reiterating that in the past the meetings have been held on the third Wednesday of each month at 9:00 a.m. in Exshaw. The members present stated that these dates and times were acceptable to them. Chairman Lock stated that he is satisfied with these dates as well, but reported that he had a conflict for the December 15<sup>th</sup> meeting. He wondered if MPC would consider changing the date. There was a discussion of quorum and alternate acceptable dates, and the MPC members decided that December 21<sup>st</sup> would be the alternate date in December.

### MOTION 02.11.10 MONTGOMERY THAT

the Municipal Planning Commission set the meeting dates for the upcoming 2010/2011 term as the third Wednesday of each month, commencing at 9:00 a.m. at the Municipal Office in Exshaw; except that, for the meeting date in December, 2010, it be rescheduled to Tuesday, December 21<sup>st</sup>.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Chairman Lock asked the MPC members if they would like to move to item VIII. 2. on the agenda given that the applicant was in attendance. The MPC members agreed to move to this item.

## VIII. DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS

### A. Applications Referred to Municipal Planning Commission

#### 2. DP Application No. 54/10

Ms. Carolyn Godfrey was present and joined the MPC members at the table. Ms. Thompson introduced Ms. Godfrey to the members. Chairman Lock requested of Ms. Thompson to provide the background information on the application. Ms. Thompson continued by describing the Enviros Wilderness School's location in the Forest Reserve portion of the MD. She said that Enviros is a youth addiction treatment facility that works with youths between 12 – 18 years of age and their families. She said by definition this facility is referred to as a Group Care Facility and the uses being applied for are considered Accessory Uses at an existing Group Care Facility. She said that Enviros is a charitable, non-profit organization funded by Alberta Health Services. She drew MPC members' attention to the site plan in the agenda material and pointed out the existing buildings on the property; such as, to name a few, a small staff cabin and a second slightly larger staff cabin, a main lodge/office/kitchen building, girls and boys cabins, school house and several storage buildings. She said the camp has been in existence for many years and that Ms. Godfrey could probably give an accurate number of years. Ms. Thompson continued to

explain that Enviros has a team of about 15 and that approximately six are on-site at any given time. She said that there are staff cabins existing on the property. She said that, in order to accommodate the families of their clients, and on the occasions when this occurs, the on-site staff move out of the larger staff cabin into the smaller staff cabin, so that the client and the family can all stay together. She said that the application outlines that Enviros would like to construct a new, two-storey multi-purpose building. She said that this would contain eight double occupancy bedrooms on the upper level and on the lower main level there would be two offices, a living/lounge space, a meeting room a small kitchenette, a mechanical/storage room, and a small shower area. She said that this new building would have a deck/porch area along one side and the rear. She said that Enviros wants to provide this new building so that there is a permanent space for staff to reside in when on site. She stated that there is an existing water treatment system on site that this new building would be connected to it. The effluent from the building would be connected to an existing, onsite sewage handling system. She said that the staff recommendation outlines the need for Enviros to provide proof that this system can handle the additional volumes, prior to commencement of construction. Alternatively, Enviros would have to install a new sewage handling system. Ms. Thompson continued to explain that, related to this, the second part of Enviros' application is to undertake some interior and exterior renovations to the existing staff cabin. She said that Enviros would like to make this staff cabin into a "family" cabin in order to accommodate support families being onsite more frequently, with a dedicated space for them to stay in. She then described the interior renovations to be: a new forced air furnace (previously the use of baseboard heaters and a wood stove were used which created some safety concerns for Enviros), the framing in of a mechanical room by using about 32 sq. ft. of space from an existing bedroom, and new flooring and painting. She said that the exterior renovations would include new insulation and siding, new roof covering, new porch and a new deck. She said the end result would be a nice place for the families. Ms. Thompson stated that the final third part of the application is to construct a new composting toilet. She said this building would be insulated and heated and that there is a sample picture of the building in the agenda material.

Ms. Thompson began her conclusion by stating that Enviros has regular fire inspections conducted at the site by Bighorn's Exshaw District Fire Chief, the setbacks to lease boundaries are shown to be complying, that garbage is collected in an animal-proof container and emptied when needed, that there is ample parking on the site and that a condition exists within the staff recommendation regarding the need for exterior lighting to be compatible with the dark sky lighting principles within the LUB. She ended with stating that the Province is the landowner and while it has consented to the project, that approval was provided back in December of 2009 and the staff recommendation requires that an updated consent be obtained and provided to the MD of Bighorn. She lastly stated that as required by the lease with the Province, access to the site needs to be formalized by way of License of Occupation which Enviros is working on.

Chairman Lock asked Ms. Godfrey if she had anything further to add. Ms. Godfrey stated that the facility has been in existence since 1976 and in 2000 the lease was expanded to allow for more development and the lease area was resurveyed at that time. She said the history of Enviros is that it formerly was a facility for young offenders and in 2005 the parameters changed to now deal with youth addiction. She said that 2.5 M in upgrades were deemed needed at that time. She said that first Enviros upgraded the kitchen so that it is now considered a commercial kitchen. She said that now Enviros would like to continue with the upgrades and so today's application. She said that it is hoped that Enviros will continue operating for many years to come.



Chairman Lock asked the members if there were any questions. Amanda Kelly began by asking for an explanation as to what "theoretical" meant as referenced on the first page of the site plan. Ms. Thompson stated, in a general sense, that the forest reserve has not been subdivided into traditional township/range/quarter sections so the term theoretical meant that if it had been, the legal description outlined would be the legal land description.

There was a discussion regarding the handling of grey water from the kitchen and laundry facilities, but there are no toilets at the facility linked to the septic system, but confirmation that composting toilets are provided. It was acknowledged that the type of sewage handling systems for various uses is regulated by the Province.

Paul Ryan commented that the application is for an increase in intensity of use. He stated that Bighorn's Fire Chief conducts regular visits and wondered if there had been many fire calls to the site. Ms. Godfrey responded that there had been a couple but none since her time. She added, though, that previous to her being employed, the sauna building had burnt down, as well as one of the cabins. She said that Enviro's has fire suppression equipment at the site. Mr. Ryan asked if an emergency plan had been filed with the MD given Bighorn is first responder. Ms. Godfrey stated that one has been provided to the Province as required by the lease and has 72 hours of emergency supplies. Mr. Ryan felt that such a plan may be useful to Bighorn's response team. The members decided to add a condition to the staff recommendation regarding the need for a copy of Enviro's emergency management plan being submitted to the MD of Bighorn. Ms. Thompson stated that this requirement could be added as a new condition within the recommendation. Ms. Godfrey commented that Enviro's is included in the sour gas pipeline companies' emergency plans. Mr. Ryan then brought up the need for legal access. There was a discussion regarding Bighorn Council Policy T-16 (road development and access policy) with Carolyn Godfrey stating that Enviro's lease is up next year so the LOC alignment will need to be surveyed, at a cost of some \$20,000.00. She said that they are working with the Province in this regard which includes involving other lease beneficiaries from the area. Ms. Thompson stated that physical access exists and the legal formal access arrangement in the form of a license of occupation is being worked on by the Province. She drew MPC's attention to staff recommendation No. 7. The members decided to amend this clause to require that proof of legal access be provided to the MD of Bighorn. It was discussed that this could be in the form of a letter from the Province.

There was a brief comment regarding staff recommendation No. 8 and need for Enviro's to comply with the requirements of Bighorn's Exshaw District Fire Chief and that this is open-ended. Ms. Thompson stated that staff are not exactly sure what the requirements might be as they could result from any fire inspection visit in any given year so purposely worded that way. She said MPC can add, change or delete staff recommendation conditions as they see fit.

Chairman Lock asked how the buildings at Enviro's are heated. Ms. Godfrey stated by propane and they have a diesel generator and have the proper licenses.

#### **MOTION 03.11.10 RYAN THAT**

the Municipal Planning Commission **APPROVE** Development Permit Application No. 54/10 subject to the following amended conditions:

1. All construction shall conform to the Alberta Building Code.

2. All plumbing, natural gas or propane connections and installations, including sewage installations and alterations, shall be approved by a Safety Codes Officer, Plumbing and Gas, and all electrical wiring shall be approved by a Safety Codes Officer, Electrical.
3. Prior to commencement of construction of the composting toilet, the developer shall provide the municipality with evidence that a permit from an accredited agency has been obtained for the installation of the composting toilet in accordance with the Alberta Private Sewage Systems Standard of Practice 1999, or more current provincial standard.
4. Prior to commencement of construction, the developer shall provide proof that the existing septic system and associated field functions property and is capable of handling the additional volumes expected from the new staff accommodation/multi-purpose building or a new sewage permit shall be obtained for the installation of a new sewage handling facility to accommodate the new staff accommodation/multi-purpose building, all in accordance with the Alberta Private Sewage Systems Standard of Practice 1999, or more current provincial standard.
5. Prior to commencement of any construction activity, the developer shall provide to Bighorn confirmation that Alberta Sustainable Resource Development (Public Lands), has approved of the proposed development.
6. All proposed structures shall be situated a minimum of 30 m from any watercourse and, additionally, all property boundary setbacks shall be complied with pursuant to Section 7 of the MD of Bighorn Land Use Bylaw No. 04/10.
7. The developer shall satisfy any requirements of Alberta Environment as they relate to potable water quality and quantity.
8. Proof of legal access to the subject property across land not owned or leased by the developer shall be provided to the MD of Bighorn and shall be secured and arranged at the applicant's sole expense.
9. The developer shall satisfy the requirements of the MD of Bighorn Exshaw District Fire Chief.
10. The developer should consider using Fire Smart construction materials for the exterior of the buildings and, in particular, should use a roofing material with a Class A or B Underwriter's Laboratories of Canada (ULC) fire rating.
11. Careful attention shall be paid by the developer to the exterior lighting for this development in order to preserve the low ambient brightness in this area. Exterior lighting for the project shall be designed to be low glare in nature and compatible with "dark sky" lighting principles. All light fixtures shall be located, aimed or shielded so as to minimize stray light trespassing across property boundaries.
12. A copy of Enviros' Emergency Management Plan shall be provided to the MD of Bighorn for its files.
13. Any future development is subject to a separate development permit application.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

The MPC members agreed to move back to item VI. on the agenda and continue with the normal flow.

## **VI. APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

### **A. October 20, 2010 – Regular**

The Chairman asked if there were any errors or omissions to the October 20, 2010 minutes. None were expressed by the members or by staff.

**MOTION 04.11.10 MONTGOMERY THAT**

the Municipal Planning Commission approve the minutes of the October 20, 2010 meeting as presented.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**VII. BUSINESS ARISING FROM MINUTES**

1. Withdrawal of DP Application No. 03/10

Ms. Thompson explained that this item has been on the MPC agenda for several months. She said that the original application was submitted to the MPC in February of this year. She said that the previous MPC had tabled a decision on the renewal application in order to give the applicants time to comply with Bighorn Policy T-16 (the road policy). She said that this policy has a Release and Hold Harmless agreement attached to it and in order to meet Policy T-16 the applicants were required to sign it. At the March meeting members heard that the applicants had their lawyer involved in determining some more acceptable wording for the agreement prior to signing. The MPC members then tabled the application to a future meeting when the applicants were in a position to sign the agreement. Ms. Thompson stated that a letter was sent to the applicants advising of this tabling motion along with a message that the bed and breakfast not be operated until the renewal application had been approved. She said that several months went by and in August the applicants reported to Bighorn's CAO that they would not be signing the agreement and would therefore withdraw the B & B renewal application as they were not operating any way. She said at the September meeting MPC were advised of this and a letter was sent to the applicants asking them to confirm in writing the verbal withdrawal. At the October meeting MPC were advised that there had not been a response to the previous letter so a final letter would be sent acknowledging the verbal withdrawal and also a statement that the B & B is not to be operated unless approval is first obtained by the MD of Bighorn. She said that this now brings us to today's meeting. Ms. Thompson stated that given the application is still on MPC's agenda pursuant to a tabling motion that the MPC now need to pass a motion to remove the item from the agenda.

The MPC members had a lengthy discussion on this matter. There was concern expressed as to whether the B & B operation had been ceased given the applicants had been given ample opportunity to respond confirming this but had not done so. The members felt that an investigation should be conducted to ensure that the B & B is not operating prior to removal of the tabled application from the MPC agenda. Ms. Thompson stated that if it is determined that the applicants are, in fact, still operating the B & B, then staff would issue a stop order or begin other bylaw enforcement initiatives. Carolyn Montgomery expressed that staff has expended a great deal of time and effort to date and she does not think that any further time should be spent on this item. She thinks that time should not be wasted writing letters. She said that if it is shown they are operating then staff will undertake the required enforcement action. Paul Ryan stated that he still feels that there should be an investigation to determine whether the B & B is operating and report back to MPC. He said that if it is determined that there is no B & B operation then the item could be removed from the agenda.

**MOTION 05.11.10 RYAN THAT**

the Municipal Planning Commission direct Administration to investigate to determine if a Bed and Breakfast operation is being conducted on NE Sec. 10, Twp. 25, Rge. 6, W5M, and report back to the Municipal Planning Commission any findings.

IN FAVOUR: KELLY, LOCK, RYAN  
OPPOSED: MONTGOMERY  
CARRIED

2. S/DAB Appeal – Refusal of No. 52/10

Ms. Thompson reported that when the MPC agenda package was sent to members a date of the S/DAB hearing had not been known. She said that since then a hearing date and time has been scheduled. She said that the hearing will be on Tuesday, November 30<sup>th</sup> at 7:00 pm at the MD office in Exshaw. She asked the members to discuss who might attend the hearing on behalf of the MPC to outline how the MPC arrived at its decision, to give some background as to the reasons for refusal, and to answer any questions. It was decided that Carolyn Montgomery would attend the hearing.

**VIII. DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS**

**A. Applications Referred to Municipal Planning Commission**

1. DP Application No. 53/10

Ms. Thompson outlined the background information on the subject application as per the Development Officer's Report. She reiterated that the property is located near Jamieson Road, comprises approximately 7.89 ha (19 acres) of land, and is for extensive agriculture (to hold two horses) and to construct an accessory building (a horse shelter). She said that also the application would involve the demolition of an existing accessory building. Ms. Thompson continued to explain that extensive agriculture is listed as a discretionary use in the Small Holdings District and accessory buildings are listed as permitted uses in that district. She said that because there is a discretionary element to the application the entire application has been referred to the MPC. Ms. Thompson also stated that the horse shelter had already been constructed. She said that the application had been referred to Bighorn's Environmental Fieldman for a recommendation as to the holding capacity of the land and any recommendations with respect to the application. She said that the Environmental Fieldman had responded and the comments are contained within the agenda material. In short, she said, there are no objections to the application provided that the animals are supplemental fed and responsible manure management practices are followed. She drew MPC's attention to the staff recommendation that outlines these recommendations from Bighorn's Environmental Fieldman along with some other applicable conditions.

Amanda Kelly stated that there is a creek nearby and was concerned with the storage of manure in relation to this. Ms. Thompson stated that the Environmental Fieldman's comments were that manure cannot be stored within 30 m of a water body (includes the creek) and that the Agriculture Operations Practices Act (AOPA) must be followed. She said that these recommendations have been included in the staff recommendation. She said that the applicant will receive a copy of the



Manure and Pasture Management for Horse Owners publication prepared by Alberta Agriculture Food and Rural Development along with some excerpts from the AOPA for information. There was a brief discussion regarding demolition permits and how those get processed and recognition that there was a typo in the Development Officer's Report with respect to the parcel size. Incorrectly it was stated that the parcel size was 17.89 ha when it is really 7.89 ha.

**MOTION 06.11.10 KELLY THAT**

the Municipal Planning Commission APPROVE Development Permit Application No. 53/10 subject to the following conditions:

1. A maximum of 2 horses may be kept on the subject lands in accordance with the application, unless a prior separate application has been approved by the MD of Bighorn No. 8.
2. Notwithstanding this maximum limit, the applicant shall be aware that Bighorn's Environmental Fieldman has estimated the grazing capacity of the subject lands to be minimal. Care shall be taken to ensure that overgrazing does not occur on the subject lands, and additional feed shall be provided on a year-round basis.
3. Responsible manure management shall be utilized, including the possibility of composting, and, in particular, there shall be no manure storage within 30 m of any water well or water course.
4. The applicants shall ensure that the animals are kept in accordance with the Agricultural Operations Practices Act (AOPA) and related Alberta Government legislation and regulation (some partial excerpts attached).
5. The application outlines that fencing of the horse holding area has been installed and such fencing shall be maintained on an as-needed basis.
6. Notwithstanding this approval, the MD of Bighorn reserves the right to reduce the number of horses allowed on the property in the future if the keeping of livestock becomes an issue within the Small Holdings District generally, or on the subject lands specifically, due to manure handling, water usage, overgrazing, odours, surface or groundwater contamination or other concerns.
7. If necessary due to complaints that may be received, the applicants shall work with Bighorn staff to mitigate any concerns or complaints, and this development approval is subject to cancellation if complaints cannot be resolved.
8. This Development Permit does not constitute approval to operate a business involving the recreational use of horses, nor shall there be any riding lessons or similar commercial activities involving the horses that may be kept on this Small Holding property without separate development approval.
9. A demolition permit shall be obtained prior to the demolition of the existing accessory building.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**B. Applications Processed by Development Officer**

1. Notice of Decision No. 49/10

Following a brief summary of the application processed by the Development Officer, the Municipal Planning Commission accepted the submitted decision as information.



**X. NEW BUSINESS**

1. Council Minutes – Regular – October 12, 2010
2. Council Minutes – Organizational – October 22, 2010  
The Municipal Planning Commission accepted the above submitted Council minutes, items X 1. and 2., as information.
3. Verbal Update on the Draft Dead Man’s Flats Area Redevelopment Plan (DMF-ARP)  
Tracy Woitenko joined the meeting at 2:55 pm to give a brief summary of the draft Dead Man’s Flats Area Redevelopment Plan. She gave a brief explanation of the previous DMF architectural review process that Council had appointed the MPC to undertake with consultants IBI Group. She said that neither staff, the MPC nor Council was satisfied with the outcome of the draft architectural review document. She said that accordingly Council’s direction was to revise and incorporate the better portions of the review document into the existing DMF-ARP. Ms. Woitenko stated that she incorporated the better portions of the review document, utilized some better illustrations and mapping, and has prepared a revised, draft DMF-ARP. She said that Council now would like a public consultation to take place on this new draft document. Accordingly, on November 23, 2010 at 7:00 pm at the MD office in Exshaw, a public open house will be held. She said that there will be changes made to the draft as necessary that arise out of the public open house, and then a final draft will be presented to Council. She said that if Council is satisfied with the document, a formal public hearing would be held. She said that following this public hearing, the formal adoption of the draft would take place, if Council deems the document acceptable. Following this summary, Ms. Woitenko left the meeting.
4. Subdivision Referral Request from Rocky View County  
Ms. Thompson stated that this item is the one added to the agenda at the beginning of the meeting. She said that this referral had just been received from Bighorn a day prior to the meeting hence the “walk on”. She outlined that Rocky View County had sent Bighorn this referral given the property is located near the boundary between the two municipalities. She said the proposal is to create one 12.75 ha (31.5 acres) property from an existing approximate 24 ha (59 acre) property which is fragmented by a CPR right-of-way. She said the subject property has a Rocky View land designation of Ranch and Farm 3. She said that staff are recommending that Bighorn have no objection to the proposal.

**MOTION 07.11.10 KELLY THAT**

the Municipal Planning Commission respond to Rocky View County’s referral that the MD of Bighorn has no objections to the proposed subdivision application 2010-RV-221.


CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY


**XII. ADJOURNMENT**

**MOTION 08.11.10 MONTGOMERY THAT**

the Municipal Planning Commission meeting adjourn at 3:30 p.m.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CHAIR

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DESIGNATED OFFICER

